Chairman Fred Thompson and approved by the Committee is a consen-

sus and a compromise.

It is important to point out that the bill that I introduced in the 104th Congress was an attempt to codify the original 1955 policy that the government should rely on the private sector. After a hearing on that bill was convened by Senator STEVENS, during his tenure as Chairman of the Committee on Governmental Affairs, it became clear to me that it was necessary to add to the bill the concept of competition to determine whether government performance or private sector performance resulted in the best value to the American taxpayer. While S. 314 as introduced, and H.R. 716 introduced in the House, was still entitled the "Freedom from Government Competition Act", it in fact not only did not prevent government competition, but it mandated it. This was not a change that private sector organizations came to comfortably support. However, inasmuch as OMB Circular A-76 changed through the years from its original 1955 philosophical statement to its more recent iterations that required publicprivate competition, I revised my bill when introducing it last year to include such competitions, provided they in fact are conducted and that when conducted, they are fair and equitable comparisons carried out on a level playing field.

I would also hasten to add that the measure reported by the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee, which I hope will be promptly approved by the full Senate, is significantly different than S. 314 as introduced. While S. 314 as introduced was opposed by the Administration and by the Federal employee unions, the compromise measure reported from the committee is not

opposed by these groups.
Mr. President, this is important legislation that I believe will truly result in a government that works better and costs less. Certainly government agency officials should have the ability to contract with the private sector for goods and services needed for the conduct of government activities. This bill will not inhibit ability. However, it should not be the practice of the government to carry on commercial activities for months, years, even decades without reviewing whether such activities can be carried out in a more cost effective or efficient manner by the private sector. I believe that the drive to reduce the size and scope of the federal government will be successful only when we force the government to do less and allow the private sector to do more.

During the course of our hearings, it became abundantly clear that there are certain activities that the Federal government has performed in-house which can and should be converted to the private sector. Areas such as architecture an engineering, surveying and mapping, laboratory testing, information technology, and laundry services have

no place in government. These activities should be promptly transitioned to the private sector.

Thre are other activities in which a public-private competition should be conducted to determined which provider can deliver the best value to the taxpayer. This includes base and facility operation, campgrounds an auction-

There are several key provisions in the bill upon which I would like to comment. In particular, section 2(d) requires the head of an agency to review the activities on his or her list of commercial activities "within a reasonable time". OMB strongly opposed a legislative timetable for conducting these reviews. As a result of the compromise language on this matter, it will be incumbent on OMB to make certain these reviews are indeed conducted in a reasonable time frame. These reviews should be scheduled and completed within moths, not years. I will personally monitor progress on this matter, as will the Governmental Affairs Committee. I urge OMB to exercise strong oversight to assure timely implementation of this requirement by the agen-

This provision also requires that agencies use a "competitive process" to select the source of goods or services. In my view, this term has the same meaning as "competitive procedures" as defined in Federal law (10 U.S.C. 2302(2) and 41 U.S.C. 259 (b)). To the extent that a government agency competes for work under this section of the bill, the government agency will be treated as any other contractor or offeror in order to assure that the competition is conducted on a level playing

Another issue that I have been concerned about is the proliferation of Interservice Support Agreement's (ISSA's). Under the "FAIR" Act, consistent with the Economy Act (31 U.S.C. 1535), items on the commercial inventory that have not been reviewed may not be performed for another federal agency. In addition, any item on the inventory cannot be provided to state or local governments unless there is a certification, pursuant to the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act (31 U.S.C. 6505(a)).

Enactment of the "FAIR" Act is a major achievement because it codifies a process to assure government reliance on the private sector to the maximum extent feasible. Further, it will put some teeth into Executive Order 12615 by President Reagan, which is still on the books today.

Again, I thank the members of the Senate Government Affairs Committee and the Committee's staff, for all of the hard work necessary to forge this compromise. I look forward to working with them on thorough Congressional oversight on the implementation of this bill.

A TRIBUTE TO THOMAS ESTES

• Mr. SMITH. of New Hampshire. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to the life and accomplishments of Thomas Clifford Estes of New Ipswich, New Hampshire, who recently passed away at the age of 66.

The family of Tom Estes can take comfort and pride in the way that he lived his life. Born on November 28, 1931 to the late Bedford and Emily Estes of New York, Tom graduated from Erasmus Hall High School and later studied at RCA Institute.

Following his father's distinguished example in serving this country in the armed forces, Tom joined the United States Navy in 1951, shortly after the outbreak of the Korean War. For three of his four years of active duty, Tom served on the U.S.S. Tarawa, a Navv aircraft carrier that entered the Asian war zone. He earned a number of Navy awards, including the Korean Service Medal, the United Nations Service Medal, the China Service Medal, the National Defense Service Medal, the Good Conduct Medal and the Navy Occupation Service Medal.

Tom's service to the nation was commendable, not just during the Korean War, but throughout his thirty-two vears of Federal civil service. He began his career as a quality assurance engineer for the United States military in Florida and later moved to Dallas, Texas, before settling in New Hampshire in 1967. Upon his retirement. Tom was recognized by the Defense Logistics Agency for his contributions.

Tom was admired for his integrity. dedication to his community and positive demeanor. He remained a devoted husband to his wife, Mary, throughout almost thirty-five years of marriage and helped care for his disabled sister for many years. An accomplished chess player, Tom also enjoyed baseball and studied the law. He and his wife ran a small, twenty-acre farm in New Ipswich for many years. He was a man who cared about the needs of others and his community, whose sense of humor, cheery smile and knack for storytelling will be missed by all who knew him.

Tom will be buried with military honors at Arlington National Cemetery on Monday, August 3, 1998. I extend my deepest sympathies to his wife, Mary, his daughter, Evelyn, his sons Thomas and Peter, and his sister, Nancy. It is my great pleasure to pay tribute to this special American in the official RECORD of the annals of Congress.

- THE EFFORTS OF THE WOMEN'S MOTORCYCLIST FOUNDATION, INC., TOWARDS THE CURE FOR BREAST CANCER
- Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I rise today to commemorate The Women's Motorcyclist Foundation, Inc. for their continued efforts in the battle against breast cancer. The fight against breast cancer is one that everyone must join